# PROXIMITY AND CONFORMATION EFFECTS IN <sup>29</sup>Si AND <sup>13</sup>C NMR SPECTRA OF Di-Ortho SUBSTITUTED TRIMETHYLSILOXYBENZENES

Jan Schraml<sup>a</sup>, Robert Brežný<sup>b</sup> and Jan Čermák<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Institute of Chemical Process Fundamentals,

Czechoslovak Academy of Sciences, 165 02 Prague 6 - Suchdol and

<sup>b</sup> Faculty of Chemical Technology, Slovak Technical University, 812 37 Bratislava

Received December 19, 1989 Accepted January 18, 1990

 $^{29}$ Si and  $^{13}$ C NMR spectra of five 4-substituted 2,6-dimethoxytrimethylsiloxybenzenes were studied with the aim to elucidate the nature of the deshielding proximity effects observed in the spectra of *ortho* substituted trimethylsiloxybenzenes. The sensitivity of  $^{29}$ Si chemical shifts to *para* substitution is in the studied compounds essentially the same as in mono *ortho* methoxytrimethylsiloxybenzenes. The deshielding proximity effect of the "second" methoxy group is somewhat smaller than that of the "first" group. The present results indicate that the two methoxy groups assume coplanar conformations with the benzene ring and are turned away from the trimethylsiloxybenzenes the two substituent groups adopt the same conformations as in the compounds studied here.

In our recent studies of substituted trimethylsiloxybenzenes<sup>1-3</sup> and o-methoxytrimethylsiloxybenzenes<sup>3</sup> we have suggested that the trimethylsiloxy group takes preferentially a perpendicular conformation in which the O—Si bond is perpendicular to the plane of the benzene ring while the ortho positioned methoxy group assumes planar conformation with the methyl group turned away from the trimethylsiloxy group.

> SiMe<sub>3</sub> O Me R



 $Me = CH_3$ 

The arguments in support of this suggestion came from the substituent-induced chemical shifts (SCS) of aromatic carbons and methoxyl carbon chemical shift. The suggested conformations are also in agreement with the results of model CNDO/2 and MNDO calculations<sup>4</sup>, with the deshielding proximity effects observed on <sup>13</sup>C and <sup>29</sup>Si chemical shifts in methoxy and trimethylsiloxy groups, respectively, and with very small effects observed on methyl groups of the trimethylsilyl group.

As a further test of these suggestions we have followed the example set by studies of methoxybenzenes<sup>5-7</sup> and investigated NMR spectra of a few di-*ortho* substituted trimethylsiloxybenzenes, namely 4-substituted 2,6-dimethoxytrimethylsiloxybenzenes (IIa-IIe) in which the spatial bulkiness excludes possibility of coplanar conformation for the O—Si bond.

The results of this investigation are reported here.

### **EXPERIMENTAL**

#### Sample Preparation

The studied trimethylsiloxy benzenes were prepared by trimethylsilylation of the corresponding substituted 2,6-dimethoxyphenols. Syringol (precursor to *IIa*) was a commercial product, syringal (precursor to *IIa*) was a commercial product, syringaldehyde (prec. *IIc*) was prepared from vanillin (4-hydroxy-3-methoxy-benzaldehyde) according to Pepper et al.<sup>8</sup>. Precursors of *IIb*, *IId* and *IIe* were prepared from syringaldehyde by reduction or oxidation according to common procedures compiled by Zakis<sup>9</sup>.

The phenols were trimethylsilylated by bis(trimethylsilyl)acetamide (BSA) in 200% stoichiometric excess (in some cases in the presence of catalytic amounts of trimethylchlorosilane). The reaction mixture was kept shaking at  $45-70^{\circ}$ C for 10-60 min. Afterward the excess of silylation reagent and by-products were distilled off in vacuum ( $1\cdot3-2\cdot0$  kPa,  $50-80^{\circ}$ C).

The trimethylsilylated products (20-50 mg) were dissolved in deuteriochloroform (0.7-1.2 ml) containing 2% (v/v) of hexamethyldisilane (HMDSS). The identity and purity of the samples were checked by <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectroscopy and the chemical shift of HMDSS in the solution was determined. If the <sup>13</sup>C chemical shift of HMDSS was smaller than  $\delta = -2.50$  the sample was diluted until this chemical shift was  $\delta = -2.48 \pm 0.02$ . Under such conditions the <sup>29</sup>Si chemical shifts sufficiently closely approximate infinite dilution shifts.

### NMR Measurements

All the NMR spectra reported here were measured on a Varian XL-200 spectrometer operating at 39.7 and 50.3 MHz for <sup>29</sup>Si and <sup>13</sup>C NMR, respectively. The spectrometer frequencies were locked to the deuterium signal of the solvent, the probe temperature was  $23-25^{\circ}$ C. <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectra were measured using simple one pulse sequence (40° pulse flip angle, 1.0 s acquisition time, 1.0 s relaxation delay), spectral width of 16 kHz and 32 K memory for FID. The spectra were referenced to the central line of the solvent ( $\delta = 76.99$ ). For the line assignment purposes also edited spectra were measured by APT pulse sequence<sup>10</sup>. The lines were assigned to aromatic carbon atoms on the basis of line intensity, APT spectra and known substituent effects<sup>11</sup>. <sup>29</sup>Si NMR spectra were measured by the earlier described<sup>12</sup> variant of INEPT polarization transfer<sup>13</sup> using acquisition time of 1.0 s, spectral width 4 kHz and 16 K of memory for FID.

| Di-ortho S | Substituted | Trimethy | lsiloxybenzenes |
|------------|-------------|----------|-----------------|
|------------|-------------|----------|-----------------|

with zero filling. The chemical shifts are given in the  $\delta$  scale (relative to tetramethylsilane, TMS) through the use of a secondary reference (the line of HMDSS at  $\delta = -19.79$ ).

The spectra of *IId* were assigned exactly by selective heteronuclear INADEQATE method<sup>14</sup> in a different solution.

## **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

The experimental results for compounds IIa - IIe are summarized in Table I. The chemical shifts of methoxyl carbons around  $\delta = 55.8$  indicate coplanar conformation of the methoxy groups in which the carbon atoms lie most of their time in the plane of the benzene ring; methoxy groups in perpendicular conformations have chemical shifts by 4-8 ppm larger<sup>5,7,15,16</sup>. Substitution of the hydrogen atom at the C-6 position in 4-substituted 2-methoxytrimethylsiloxybenzenes I to form the corresponding compounds II causes  $+0.44 \pm 0.03$  ppm downfield shift of the methoxyl carbon line, independently of the nature of the substituent R at C-4. This deshielding effect is about three times larger than the effect of similar meta substitution in methoxybenzene<sup>17</sup> but it is somewhat smaller than the +0.57 ppm effect seen for analogous substitution in *ortho*-dimethoxybenzene to form 1,2,3-trimethoxybenzene<sup>6</sup>. In solution, the last mentioned compound takes predominately the form described by the formula<sup>18</sup> III.



with the outer methoxy groups in coplanar conformations and both turned away from the central methoxy group which assumes the perpendicular conformation; their <sup>13</sup>C chemical shifts being  $\delta = 55.8$  and 59.9, respectively<sup>6</sup>.

Extending the validity of correlation between methoxyl carbon chemical shift and substituent parameters that holds for *ortho* substituted methoxybenzenes<sup>19</sup> we estimate that replacing the central methoxy group in *III* by trimethylsiloxy group can change the chemical shift of the outer methoxyl carbons by -0.1 ppm at maximum, i.e. to  $\delta = 55.7$ . The experimental value,  $\delta = 55.87$ , is in good agreement with the prediction and so it confirms the assumption that the compounds *II* and *III* have analogous conformations.

Further support to the proposed conformation of methoxy groups comes from asymmetry of substituent-induced chemical shifts (SCS) of aromatic carbons. Sub-

tracting from the chemical shifts of aromatic C-1 and C-5 carbons of *II* the corresponding shifts in compounds *I* with the same substituent R we get the SCS values for *ortho* positions due to the "second" methoxy group. In all five compounds both SCS values are negative but the substituent shift at C-5 position is 4-7 ppm larger than that at C-1. This is again in agreement with the proposed conformations and with the observation that aromatic carbons in *syn* position to the methyl group are shielded more than in anisole whereas the opposite behavior is observed at *anti* positions in *ortho* substituted methoxybenzenes<sup>5,6,20</sup>.

| Compound | <sup>29</sup> Si   | <sup>13</sup> C     |            |            |                     |       |      |  |
|----------|--------------------|---------------------|------------|------------|---------------------|-------|------|--|
|          |                    | C-1                 | C-2<br>C-6 | C-3<br>C-5 | C-4                 | ОМе   | MeSi |  |
| IIa      | 21.48              | 134.21              | 151.81     | 105-28     | 120.71              | 55.87 | 0.35 |  |
| IIb      | 21.19              | 131·75 <sup>b</sup> | 151-31     | 106.07     | 130·40 <sup>b</sup> | 55.84 | 0.31 |  |
| IIc      | 23.40              | 135·41 <sup>b</sup> | 151-94     | 106.75     | 129·53 <sup>b</sup> | 55.98 | 0.45 |  |
| IId      | 22·83 <sup>c</sup> | 138.82              | 151-13     | 107.32     | 123.64              | 55.90 | 0.37 |  |
| IIe      | 21·46 <sup>d</sup> | 135·45 <sup>b</sup> | 151.50     | 103.86     | 133·57 <sup>b</sup> | 55.88 | 0.35 |  |

 TABLE I

 Chemical shifts<sup>a</sup> in 4-substituted 2,6-dimethoxytrimethylsiloxybenzenes IIa-IIe

<sup>a</sup> All the values of chemical shifts are in the  $\delta$  scale, estimated error  $\pm 0.02$  ppm. Me denotes methyl group, aromatic carbons are numbered according to the formula *II*. <sup>b</sup> The assignment of the lines can be interchanged. <sup>c</sup> Signal at  $\delta = 24.55$  is due to the substituent at C-4. <sup>d</sup> Signal at  $\delta = 18.97$  is due to the substituent at C-4.



Fig. 1

Plot of <sup>29</sup>Si chemical shifts in II (full points) and in IV (open points) against <sup>29</sup>Si chemical shifts in I (the straight lines are least-square fits of the data points shown)

Collect. Czech. Chem. Commun. (Vol. 55) (1990)

2030

In the limited series of compounds II the <sup>29</sup>Si chemical shifts exhibit about the same sensitivity to *para* substitution as in compounds I, which is somewhat lower than that in *para* substituted trimethylsiloxybenzenes (IV), R—C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>—OSiMe<sub>3</sub> (ref.<sup>1</sup>, compare the slopes in Fig. 1).

The difference between the <sup>29</sup>Si chemical shifts in the corresponding compounds II and I is  $0.86 \pm 0.03$  ppm. This difference represents proximity effect due to the "second" methoxy group. The effect is of the same sign (deshielding) but smaller then the proximity effect of the "first" methoxy group (1.43-3.04 ppm depending)on substituent R)<sup>3</sup>. (Analogous proximity effect on methyl carbon shift is very small, 0.05 ppm.)

All these observations lead us to conclude that the conformation of the trimethylsiloxy group is essentially the same in the two classes of compounds II and I. Since, for steric reasons, the trimethylsiloxy group in compounds II cannot take coplanar conformation with the O—Si bond in the plane of the benzene ring, also compounds I have the trimethylsiloxy group predominantly in perpendicular conformation as suggested previously<sup>2</sup>.

#### REFERENCES

- Schraml J., Ponec R., Chvalovský V., Engelhardt G., Jancke H., Kriegsmann H., Larin M. F., Pestunovich V. A.: Voronkov M. G.: J. Organometal. Chem. 178, 55 (1979).
- Schraml J., Chvalovský V., Jancke H., Koehler P., Larin M. F., Pestunovich V. A., Voronkov M. G.: Collect. Czech. Chem. Commun. 55, 2019 (1990).
- 3. Schraml J., Kvíčalová M., Chvalovský V., Elder T., Brežný R.: Magn. Reson. Chem., in press.
- 4. Wolff R., Radeglia R., Ponec R.: Unpublished results.
- 5. Dhami K. S., Stothers J. B.: Can. J. Chem. 44, 2855 (1966).
- 6. Fujita M., Yamada M., Nakajima S., Kawai K., Nagai M.: Chem. Pharm. Bull. 32, 2622 (1984).
- 7. Jardon P. W., Viciery E. H., Pahler L. F., Pouramady N., Mains G. J., Eisenbraun E. J.: J. Org. Chem. 49, 2130 (1984).
- 8. Pepper J. M., MacDonald J. A.: Can. J. Chem. 31, 476 (1953).
- 9. Zakis G. F.: The Synthesis of Lignin Model Compounds Methods (in Russian). Zinatne, Riga 1980.
- 10. Patt S. L., Shoolery J. N.: J. Magn. Reson. 46, 535 (1982).
- 11. Levy G. C., Nelson G. L.: Carbon-13 Nuclear Magnetic Resonance for Organic Chemists. Wiley-Interscience, New York 1972.
- 12. Schraml J.: Collect. Czech. Chem. Commun. 48, 3402 (1983).
- 13. Morris G. A., Freeman R.: J. Am. Chem. Soc. 101, 760 (1979).
- 14. Schraml J., Past J., Puskar J., Pehk T., Lippmaa E., Brežný R.: Collect. Czech. Chem. Commun. 52, 1985 (1987).
- 15. Kolehmainen E., Knuutinen J.: Org. Magn. Reson. 21, 388 (1983).
- 16. Makriyannis, Knittel J. J.: Tetrahedron Lett. 1979, 2753.
- 17. Bromilow J., Brownlee R. T. C., Craik D. J.: Aust. J. Chem. 30, 351 (1977).
- 18. Exner O., Jehlička V.: Collect. Czech. Chem. Commun. 48, 1030 (1983).

Collect. Czech. Chem. Commun. (Vol. 55) (1990)

- Yoder C. H., Sheffy F. K., Howell R., Hess R. E., Pacala L., Schaeffer C. D. Jr., Zuckerman J. J.: J. Org. Chem. 41, 1511 (1976).
- 20. Biekofsky R. R., Pomilio A. B., Contreras R. H., de Kowalewski D. G., Facelli J. C.: Magn. Reson. Chem. 27, 158 (1989).

Translated by the author (J.S.).

# 2032